

Studies of four-dimensional reduction of the 11-dimensional supergravity have a long history. Many years ago Freund and Rubin pointed out that existence of 7 or 4 forms in an 11-dimensional gravity theory dynamically leads to reduction of the theory to 4 or 7 dimensions [1]. This is referred to as the Freund-Rubin reduction; it is also called the Freund-Rubin compactification because in the phenomenologically more interesting four-dimensional reduction the remaining seven dimensions are given by a compact seven-sphere S^7 , with inverse of its radius being treated as a mass/energy scale parameter of the compactification. In 1986 de Wit and Nicolai showed that in the context of the Freund-Rubin reduction a consistent four-dimensional reduction of the 11-dim $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity compactified on S^7 becomes the maximal 4-dim $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [2].

Explicit forms of the Freund-Rubin 4- or 7-form, as well as the corresponding potential, in 11-dim supergravity have not been known for a long time. Recently, however, a series of papers (see, *e.g.*, [3, 4, 5]) show that one can find consistent ansätze for such potentials, using an analysis of what the authors call the generalized vielbein postulate on an $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ coset space. The article under review should be understood as a part of such developments in deriving consistent ansätze for a 4-form field strength in 11-dim supergravity. The authors show that such 4-forms can be expressed in terms of the scalars and vectors of the 4-dim maximal supergravity and this result, in turn, provides an explicit uplift of the 4-dim supergravity to the 11-dim counterpart. The resultant form of the Freund-Rubin 4-form is rather simple and depends only on the truncated 4-dim (spacetime) coordinates. This suggests a new perspective on compactification scenarios of superstring and M theories. There are several recent papers which are closely related to this article; see, for example, [6, 7].

References

- [1] P. G. O. Freund and M. A. Rubin, Phys. Lett. B **97**, 233 (1980).
doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90590-0
- [2] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. B **281**, 211 (1987).
doi:10.1016/0550-3213(87)90253-7
- [3] H. Nicolai and K. Pilch, JHEP **1203**, 099 (2012).
doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2012)099 [arXiv:1112.6131 [hep-th]].

- [4] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, JHEP **1305**, 077 (2013)
doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)077 [arXiv:1302.6219 [hep-th]].
- [5] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar and H. Nicolai, JHEP **1402**, 075 (2014)
doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)075 [arXiv:1307.8295 [hep-th]].
- [6] O. Varela, arXiv:1512.04943 [hep-th].
- [7] O. Krüger, arXiv:1602.03327 [hep-th].